1	Chief Judge Lasnik
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR06-198RSL)
10 11	v. ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
12	DANIEL SILVA-CHAVEZ, et al. Defendants.
13	

On June 23, 2006, defendant Gabriel Silva-Chavez filed a Motion to Continue the Trial Date in the above-captioned matter (Doc. 134). The government agreed that a continuance is appropriate, and filed a pleading suggesting a trial date of March 12, 2007 (Doc. 197). On July 17, 2006, the Court held a Status Conference, with all twenty pending defendants in attendance. With the exception of defendant Pedro Cuellar-Garcia, no defendant objected to a continuance of the trial date. The overwhelming majority of the defendants joined in the motion for continuance, as set forth on the record at the Status Conference. Eleven defendants requested a trial in or about March 2007. Other defendants requested a trial date in October 2006.

For the reasons set forth in Defendant Gabriel Silva-Chavez's Motion to Continue (Doc. 134), the Government's Status Conference Memorandum (Doc. 197), and the additional reasons set forth orally by the Court at the Status Conference,

THE COURT FINDS that this is a complex case involving extensive discovery and allegations of a 25-defendant conspiracy that spanned more than three years. Among other voluminous discovery, the government will produce more than 15,000 wiretapped

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

phone calls over twelve target telephones, over one hundred consensually recorded conversations, hundreds of surveillance photographs and videos, hundreds of photographs documenting the searches of over twenty locations, and hundreds of law enforcement reports. Nearly all of the wiretap and consensual recordings are in Spanish, and will need to be translated by the parties prior to trial.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that continuing the trial in this case is necessary to allow defense counsel adequate time to review the discovery materials, acquire translations of the recordings, investigate the facts of the case, prepare and litigate pretrial motions, and effectively prepare for trial. In this regard, the Court notes that within the last two weeks, several defendants have replaced appointed counsel with newly hired private counsel, highlighting the need for these defendants to have additional time to review discovery and prepare for trial.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), that the ends of justice served by continuing the trial in this case outweigh the interest of the public and of the defendants in a more speedy trial;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that, with respect to any defendants who object to a trial continuance, or the length of the trial continuance, a continuance of their trials is appropriate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7) ("A reasonable period of delay [is excluded] when the defendant is joined for trial with a codefendant as to whom the time for trial has not run and no motion for severance has been granted."). See United States v. Messer, 197 F.3d 330, 337 (9th Cir. 1999) ("It is well established that an exclusion from the Speedy Trial clock for one defendant applies to all codefendants."); United States v. Daychild, 357 F.3d 1082, 1090-91 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding that a delay from a grant of trial continuance to one defendant is also excludible time for a joined codefendant); United States v. Butz, 982 F.2d 1378, 1381 (9th Cir. 1993) (same).

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET, SUITE 5220 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 553-7970

//

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial in this case shall be continued until 1 March 12, 2007, and that the period of time from August 14, 2006, up to and including 2 March 12, 2007, shall be excludable time under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A); and 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing pretrial motions shall be 4 November 3, 2006. Responses to all pretrial motions shall be due no later than 5 December 4, 2006. Reply briefs shall be filed no later than December 18, 2006. 6 SO ORDERED this 25th day of July, 2006. 7 8 MMS Casnik 9 United States District Judge 10 Presented by: 11 12 JOHN McKAY United States Attorney 13 14 s/Todd Greenberg 15 TODD GREENBERG **Assistant United States Attorney** 16 United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 17 Seattle, Washington 98101-3903 18 Telephone: (206) 553-2636 Fax: (206) 553-4440 19 Todd.Greenberg4@usdoj.gov E-mail: 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28